

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

TITLE: Cheshire East Enhanced Partnership and Bus Service Improvement Plan (BSIP)

VERSION CONTROL

Date	Version	Author	Description of Changes
03.11.2022	2	Chris Taylor	N/A



CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL - EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Stage 1 Description: Fact finding (about your policy / service /

Department	Place		Lead officer responsible for assessment		Chris Taylor	
Service			Jenny Marston Richard Hibbert			
Date	03.11.2022		Version		2	
Type of document (mark as appropriate)	Strategy	Plan	Function	Policy	Procedure	Service
Is this a new/ existing/ revision of an existing document (please mark as appropriate)	New		Existing		Revision	
Title and subject of the impact assessment (include a brief description of the aims, outcomes, operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the organisation) Please attach a copy of the strategy/ plan/ function/ policy/ procedure/ service	Background Significant challen of the COVID-19 p remains lower than For the bus indust increases associat further undermined Due to these cha operators and the network. Both are As BRG/LTF fund 2019 values) comm	bandemic bus servin pre-pandemic. ry, there is continue ted with fuel and di d the viability of the llenges, the Depar Local Transport Fu due to cease in Mar ing comes to a clos mercial operators w	ed to the bus industry ces within Cheshire I ed uncertainty surrou river wage rates. The current network. tment for Transport and (LTF) for Local T och 2023. se and concessionary ill begin to evaluate th	r in recent years on a n East have witnessed a Inding passenger and ese uncertainties along (DfT) have provided to Transport Authorities to y reimbursement align he viability of their com onally and locally. This	sharp decrease i revenue recovery gside slow patrona the Bus Recovery aid the delivery s with actual patro mercial services.	n patronage which , coupled with cost age recovery have y Grant (BRG) for of the existing bus onage (rather than At the moment it is



being withdrawn and supported contracts being handed back. For this reason the DfT has proposed that Local Transport Authorities should conduct detailed Bus Network Reviews, to understand services that are at risk and the support that would be required to provide a sustainable public transport network.
At the moment within Cheshire East, around 70% of services are supported by the council which costs £2.3m per annum.
In accordance with the Government's guidance on Network Reviews issued in April 2022, analysis has been conducted with operators to help identify which services within Cheshire East are deemed to be commercial, marginal or non-viable after the cessation of the BRG and LTF funding support. Conducting this network review is a condition of gaining access to the next phase of the BRG/LTF funding.
As services adapt to changing funding arrangements, there is a need to prioritise services. Cheshire East utilises a set of criteria which are used to score and prioritise bus services based on their ability to meet LTP priority themes, accessibility requirements for users and financial considerations.
The current criteria are summarised below:
 LTP Priority Themes: Including business growth (journey purpose), sustainable economic growth and impact on carbon emissions.
Accessibility: Including transport interchange and travel choice
 Financial Considerations: Including cost per passenger, funding options/alternatives, service usage and patronage trends (commercial potential).
This set of criteria was developed in 2011 and used as a reference case in 2017 during the bus service review to reflect the key themes and aspirations contained within the LTP.
The bus network and industry within Cheshire East has witnessed significant challenges and changes since the adoption of this support criteria in 2011. For this reason, a refresh has been proposed in order to ensure services are scored based on relevant criteria as of 2022.
The new criteria includes the following additions which are being presented for consideration:



	Decarbonisation – Cheshire East Council aims to be carbon neutral in its own operations by 2025, as outlined within the council's Environment Strategy (2020-2024). Cheshire East made a further pledge in January 2022 to be a carbon neutral borough by 2045. With these targets in place, there is a need to ensure bus services contribute to their attainment. The emission standard of vehicles being used has been suggested as a new criterion, here services will be scored based on whether EV/Hydrogen, Euro 6, 5 or 4 vehicles are in operation.
	Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) – For this metric, the percentage of route length that sits within the top 25% most deprived areas will be used to score each bus service. Areas of deprivation typically rely on bus services for access to facilities and amenities, therefore this metric ensures that the social value of bus services is considered during decision making.
	Fare Paying and Concessionary Patronage Recovery post-covid (compared to 2019) – Recovery post-covid is still ongoing and significantly impacting the viability of bus services across the borough. While fare paying patronage on average has returned to around 80% of pre-covid levels, concessionary travel (which constitutes half of total passengers for many services) still remains at around 60%. These metrics therefore score services based on their rate of recovery for all ticket types.
Who are the main stakeholders and have they been engaged with? (e.g. general public, employees, Councillors, partners, specific audiences, residents)	New criteria have been added to better represent the current bus network and its duties to serve the people of Cheshire East. In particular, the support criteria have been expanded to consider indices of multiple deprivation (IMD). This provides a measure of relative deprivation for small areas based on seven distinct domains of deprivation: income, employment, education, health, crime, barriers to housing and services and living environment. IMD has been introduced to limit the impact of bus service alterations on vulnerable groups. Conversations with vulnerable groups will be conducted to ensure impacts on those with protected characteristics are minimised.
	Consultation and engagement with bus user groups, and other key stakeholders will take place to discuss the proposed criteria and take account of any comments. Early conversations are to be held in November-December 2022 with full consultation taking place during January 2023.
	 The general public (including residents and visitors to the Borough); Cheshire East Council stakeholders; Public transport operators; Local businesses/organisations; Schools and education establishments; Neighbouring local authorities;



	 Governmental bodies (e.g. Local Enterprise Partnership); Statutory transport bodies (e.g. Department for Transport and Transport for the North). Partner organisations Town and Parish Councils; Umbrella organisations for people with specialist transport needs; such as: * Space4Autism Disability Information Bureau (DIB) * Cheshire Centre for Independent living * Cheshire Eye Society * Deafness Support Network * ADCA Medical Transport Service * Congleton Disabled Club * Care4CE * Leonard Cheshire Disability * The Stroke Association Transport interest groups; Such as: Crewe & District Bus Users Group Transition Wilmslow Active Travel Congleton Travel Cheshire
What consultation method(s) did you use?	Early conversations are to be held with key stakeholders (vulnerable groups and bus operators). Once these conversations have been held, discussions will be recorded within future iterations of this EqIA. It is important for the council to be open and transparent on the purpose of this engagement/consultation, which is to review the proposed criteria as a framework for decision making going forward. The consultation will need to clearly describe why the criteria are suitable for forming a framework that guides decision making. It is noted that the consultation will not propose any direct changes to the network.



		ır findings? (quantitative and qualitat document, i.e., graphs, tables, charts		dditional information that	Consultation/ involvement
Gender reassignment	Ν	Race	N	Sexual orientation	N
Disability	N	Pregnancy & maternity	N	Sex	N
Age	N	Marriage & civil partnership	N	Religion & belief	N
Is there an actual or potential nega	tive imp	eact on these specific characteristics	? (Please tick)		
evidence to prove otherwise)?					
outcomes (do you have enough	P 4000	nger nanoport			
action to promote equality? Is there a history of unequal		e absence of strategic guidance on the nger transport	matter recognise the r	ieed for CEC to develop a stra	tegic approach to bu
Is there any specific targeted		is no specific targeted action to promote			
others?)					
(e.g. will it favour one particular group or deny opportunities for					
affected?					
groups or communities likely to be					
needs or circumstances? Are relations between different	No				
based on individual characteristics,					
Does it include making decisions	No				
outcome for some groups?					
Could there be a different impact or	No				
		sing the future bus network as it continue			
stakeholders listed above) Who is intended to benefit and how?	There	will be no direct benefits associated with	having this set of crite	eria in place. The criteria will se	erve as a tool for
(This may or may not include the	Equal	ty Impact Assessments to be conducted			
analysis?		riteria itself will not have an impact on the			0
Who is affected and what evidence have you considered to arrive at this		will not be a direct impact on bus servic Cheshire East remains uncertain, this c			



direct changes to the bus network within Cheshire East. This is simply a scoring mechanism to he borough. No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage. However, there	No
may be positive or adverse impact on older and younger people who tend as groups to	
further and higher education. Bus use is higher for those aged 60 and over than those in	
middle aged groups. This will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the	
criteria.	
No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage. However positive or	
No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage.	
Ç I	
	 use public transport more than other age groups. Nationally the proportion of trips made by bus is highest amongst those aged between 17 and 20. Young people also face barriers to transport, include the availability and cost of public transport, particularly to further and higher education. Bus use is higher for those aged 60 and over than those in middle aged groups. This will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the criteria. No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage. However positive or adverse impacts are possible depending on how the criteria is implemented. Key challenges faced by disabled people on the transport system include being able to access accurate and relevant travel information both before and during the journey, being able to access public transport interchanges, especially at night when these may be poorly lit, being able to access public transport network. This will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the criteria. No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage. However it is widely accepted that gendered abuse and sexual harassment are particularly associated with public transport with concerns around personal safety when travelling. This will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the criteria.



Sex	will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the criteria. No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage however, it is widely recognised that women are very often constrained by several barriers that shape how they travel. Women are also more likely to travel by bus and less likely to travel by rail than men. This will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the criteria.			
Sexual orientation	No particular negative impacts have been identified at this stage however, it is crucial to consider how fears and risks of violence associated with public transport proportionately affects people from the LGBT community. This will be considered in future EqIAs following application of the criteria.			
Proceed to full impact assessment? (Please tick)	No		Date: 03/11/2022	
1			1	

If yes, please proceed to Stage 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue



Stage 3 Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected characteristics	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have an adverse impact on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations List what negative impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Are there any positive impacts of the policy (function etc) on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations List what positive impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Please rate the impact taking into account any measures already in place to reduce the impacts identified <i>High:</i> Significant potential impact; history of complaints; no mitigating measures in place; need for consultation <i>Medium:</i> Some potential impact; some mitigating measures in place, lack of evidence to show effectiveness of measures <i>Low:</i> Little/no identified impacts; heavily legislation-led; limited public facing aspect	Further action (only an outline needs to be included here. A full action plan can be included at Section 4) Once you have assessed the impact of a policy/service, it is important to identify options and alternatives to reduce or eliminate any negative impact. Options considered could be adapting the policy or service, changing the way in which it is implemented or introducing balancing measures to reduce any negative impact. When considering each option you should think about how it will reduce any negative impact, how it might impact on ther groups and how it might impact on relationships between groups and overall issues around community cohesion. You should clearly demonstrate how you have considered various options and the impact of these. You must have a detailed rationale behind decisions and a justification for those alternatives that have not been accepted.
Age				
Disability				
Gender reassignment				
Marriage & civil partnership				
Pregnancy and				



maternity			
Race			
Religion & belief			
Sex			
Sexual orientation			
_	e carried out wholly or partly by othe legislation (e.g. tendering, awards p	 -	that the partner organisation



Stage 4 Review and Conclusion

Summary: provide a brief overview including impact, changes, improvement, any gaps in evidence and additional data that is needed

Acceptance of the proposed criteria for bus service support prioritisation will be determined as a result of Committee review and detailed consultation. The Council will continue to work with specific groups and focus groups to monitor the impact of all future alterations. At this stage there will not be a direct impact on bus services as a result of this revised support criteria. The future of the bus industry within Cheshire East remains uncertain, this criteria will be used as a tool to help manage future changes to the network. The criteria itself will not have an impact on the public or bus operators. Application of this criteria will require additional Equality Impact Assessments to be conducted.

How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date
Results of consultation	Chris Taylor & Jenny Marston	March 2023
Through stakeholder engagement.	Chris Taylor & Jenny Marston	TBC following application of criteria.
This will be reviewed at following acceptance of t	the criteria and during future appli	cation of the criteria.
Yes, when the criteria is required and applied to	existing services for prioritisation.	
		-
Jenny Marston	Date	03/11/2022
Richard Hibbert	Date	03/11/2022
	Results of consultation Through stakeholder engagement. This will be reviewed at following acceptance of the second state of th	Results of consultation Chris Taylor & Jenny Marston Through stakeholder engagement. Chris Taylor & Jenny Marston This will be reviewed at following acceptance of the criteria and during future applie Yes, when the criteria is required and applied to existing services for prioritisation. Jenny Marston Date

Please publish this completed EIA form on the relevant section of the Cheshire East website